IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM
PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

TUESDAY, THE 11TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 20TH BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 23001 of 2007(L)

PETITIONER:

JANSI RETHNAM D., D/O. DAVID ABEL,
AGED 38, MELPARAMBA, MISSION COMPOUND,
PALAKKAD-6.

BY ADV. SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED
SRI.K.E.HAMZA
SRI.T.V.VIJAYARAJAN
SRI.M.SAJJAD

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
GENERAL EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
JAGATHI, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. DISTRICT EDUCATION OFFICER,
PALAKKAD.

4. THE CORPORATE MANAGER,
CSI SCHOOLS IN MALABAR AND WYNAD, BANK ROAD,
CALICUT.

5. SHEEBA KELOTH, W/O. LANCY,
'DEVAN', THAYODI PARAMBA, RARICHAN ROAD,
P.O.ERANHIPALAM, CALICUT.

BY ADV. SRI.P.V.KUNHIKRISHNAN
R1 TO R3 BY SR.GOVT. PELADER SRI.T.T.MAHMOOD.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD

ON 11/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE

FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX (WP(C) NO.23001 OF 2007)

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

Ext.P1: Copy of order dtd.26.12.2006 of the D.E.O., Palakkad.

Ext.P2: Copy of the judgment in W.P(C) 148 of 2007 dtd.2.1.07.

Ext.P3: Copy of the order of D.P.I. Dtd.14.2.2007.

Ext.P4: Copy of the revision petition filed by the Management dtd.24.3.2007.

Ext.P5: Copy of the Judgment in R.P.No.459/07 in W.P(C) No.8098/07 dtd.7.6.07.

Ext.P6: Copy of the revision petition filed by the petitioner dtd.14.7.07.

-True Copy-

P.A.to Judge.

S. SIRI JAGAN, J.

WP(C) NO. 23001 OF 2007 - L

Dated this the 11th day of September, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner is an aspirant for the post of Sewing Teacher in the fourth respondent's school. She contends that a rank list was prepared in which the petitioner was the second rank holder. The appointment of the first rank holder was not approved. The petitioner contends that in such circumstances, the petitioner is entitled to be appointed since the petitioner has the qualifications for the same. The petitioner has filed Ext.P6 revision petition before the Government in this regard. The petitioner seeks expeditious disposal of Ext.P6.

2. The learned counsel for the fifth respondent submits that against the non-approval of the appointment of the fifth respondent, the fifth respondent has filed an appeal pursuant to which the matter has been remanded and a fresh proposal has been forwarded. Whatever that be, since the petitioner has filed Ext.P6, the first respondent has to deal with the same and pass appropriate

orders.

3. In the above circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of directing the first respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P6 after affording an opportunity of being heard to the petitioner, the fourth respondent and the fifth respondent, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

Sd/-
S. SIRI JAGAN,
Judge

Mbs/

-True Copy-

S.SIRI JAGAN, J.

W.P.(C) NO. OF 2001

J U D G M E N T

DATED:01-06-2007